What are the usage dimensions of open?
The team
Identified Areas of Priority

Character of Outputs & Research workflow
Flexible economics
Stakeholders

- Researchers & Librarians
- Funders
- Service Providers
- Public
Areas needing solutions

Standards and norms
Exit strategies
Incentive systems
Inertia balanced with the desire for change while maintaining continuity
A working group of major funders to:

Facilitate budgeting to support OA.
Use workflow tools e.g. ORCID

* Someone (Force11?) take up the creation of 1-pager that speaks to these issues to funders?
Intelligent partnerships

To make things easier for researchers
Landscape assessment for current best practices, standards, norms

https://figshare.com/articles/101_Innovations_in_Scholarly_Communication_the_Changing_Research_Workflow/1286826
Exit strategies

a means of leaving one's current situation with a path to sustainability through considering appropriate governance

Propose an idea workshop in the area of exit strategies/ sustainability to develop project solicitations

Industry involvement in #OSI2017: Google, Microsoft, Amazon

Use available resources: JISC, JSTor, Ithaka
Educating sustainers

Inclusion of #OpenResearch in disciplinary curricula

Funder teaching/training grants (e.g., NIH BEST)

Get AAU & APLU & AAMC in on the game of open scholarship: Thoughts, concerns, opportunities for change.
nSci, keep up the good work!
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The most contentious debate in our workgroup was whether this should live or die #OSI2016